Faciliy	Actual TN (mg/l)	Actual TP (mg/l)	Facility Assumptions	LOT 7.0 TN upgrade	LOT 7.0 LOT 3.0 TN TN upgrade upgrade cost/year
Conrad	7	0.15	Extended aeration without chemical P precipitation. Optimized for LOT7.0TN.	N/A, currently meeting LOT	\$0.00 Retrofit with anoxic zone to convert
Chinook	2.9	1.84	Oxidation ditch, optimized LOT3.0TN; no P removal.	N/A, currently	\$0.00 N/A, currently
Hinsdale	13	1.06	Extended aeration package plant. Incomplete nitrification/denitrification; no P removal.	meeting N/A, no RPA/WQ BELs needed	meeting \$0.00 N/A, no RPA/WQ BELs needed
Manhattan	8.7	0.6	Fixed film system with nitrification; unknown P removal.	Optimiza tion to meet LOT	\$700 Retrofit with denitrific ation
Colstrip	unk	unk	Oxidation ditch, unknown performance.	Optimiza tion to meet LOT	\$1,200 Retrofit with anoxic
East Helena	10.6	0.53	Activated sludge plant. Pretty good nitrification, little denitrification. Good P removal.	Optimiza tion to meet LOT	\$900 Retrofit with denitrific ation
Stevensville	14.8	2.835	Oxidation ditch, with nitrification but limited nutrient removal. Planning for a BNR upgrade.  Majors	N/A, assume new BNR plant can meet I OT	filters \$0.00 Retrofit new plant with denitrific
Bozeman	4.4	4.4			\$0.00 Optimiza tion to meet LOT

Butte Silver Bow	2.4	2.4	New MBR plant, so data is very limited. TP is reportedly around 0.2 now. Assume LOT3.0TN and LOT0.5TP currently.	N/A, currently meeting LOT	\$0.00 N/A, currently meeting LOT
Hamilton	3.13	3.13	Well under design flow, facility appears to be biological N removal or optimized accordingly. Secondary plant with simple modifications for TP removal.	currently meeting	\$0.00 N/A, currently meeting LOT and RPA/WQ BEL
Havre	7.92	7.92	A new BNR plant is under construction. Assume new facility will meet LOT3.0TN and LOT0.5TP.	N/A, assume Inew BNR plant can meet LOT	\$0.00 N/A, assume new BNR plant can meet
Helena	5.58	5.58	Biological nitrogen removal plant with no specific TP removal. Plant is reportedly already optimized and needs to do some small capital improvements.	N/A, currently meeting LOT	\$0.00 Retrofit with denitrific ation filters or step feed to BNR
Kalispell	8.4	8.4	Johannesburg process. biological N removal/EBPR. Not fully denitrifying. Excellent TP removal; mostly EBPR.	Optimiza tion to t meet LOT	\$2,800 Retrofit with denitrific ation filters or
Lewistown	2.05	2.05	Biological N removal/EBPR system. Meeting LOT3.0TN.	N/A, currently	\$0N/A, currently
Whitefish	24.2	24.2	Aerated lagoon with chemical TP removal. Plenty of capacity. Requires replacement to meet LOT for TN. An SBR is designed for construction in 2020 and it is assumed that it will meet LOT7.0TN and LOT0.5TP.	assume new SBR plant can	meeting \$0 Retrofit with denitrific ation filters

<sup>\*</sup>We use county levels for unemployment rate except for largest towns ( ) as that is the numbers available

LOT 3.0 TN upgrade cost/year	LOT P upgrade to 0.5 mg/L TP	LOT P upgrade to 0.5 mg/L TP cost/year	LOT P upgrage to 0.1 mg/L TP	LOT P upgrade to 0.1 mg/L TP cost/year	LOT P upgrade to 0.05 mg/L TP	LOT P upgrade to 0.05 mg/L TP cost/year
\$159,15	5N/A, currently meeting LOT	\$0.00	Optimize chemical precipitation and solids removal	\$900	High dosage chemical precipitation and advanced solids removal	\$956,245
	ORetrofit with EBPR ON/A, no RPA/WQ BELs		Chemical precipitation and tertiary N/A, no RPA/WQBELs needed	·	High dosage chemical precipitation N/A, no RPA/WQBELs needed	\$959,726 \$0.00
\$181,466	currently meeting	\$0.00	OChemical precipitation and tertiary	\$389,227	'High dosage chemical precipitation	\$727,432
\$186,14: \$204,600			filtration Chemical precipitation and tertiary Chemical		and advanced High dosage chemical precipitation High dosage chemical	\$1,129,116 \$840,741
	currently meeting LOT		precipitation and tertiary filtration		precipitation and advanced solids removal	
\$172,000	assume new BNR plant can meet I OT	\$0.00	OChemical precipitation and tertiary filtration	\$367,274	N/A, LOT is below RPA/WQBEL	\$0.00
Majors						
\$2,600	ON/A, currently meeting LOT	\$0.00	Optimize chemical precipitation and solids removal	\$10,700	High dosage chemical precipitation and advanced solids removal	\$5,389,300

\$0.00 N/A, new plant currently meeting LOT	\$0.00 Optimize chemical precipitation and solids removal	\$9,500 High dosage chemical precipitation and advanced solids removal	\$3,804,600
\$0.00One point alum; Ferment er retrofit	\$133,900 N/A, LOT is below RPA/WQBEL	\$0.00 N/A, LOT is below RPA/WQBEL	\$0.00
\$0.00One point alum; Ferment er	\$123,700 N/A, LOT is below RPA/WQBEL	\$0.00 N/A, LOT is below RPA/WQBEL	\$0.00
\$966,900 One point alum; Ferment er retrofit	\$248,000 Chemical precipitation and tertiary filtration	\$746,700 High dosage chemical precipitation and advanced solids removal	\$3,686,400
\$966,900 N/A, currently meeting LOT	\$0.00 Optimize chemical precipitation and solids removal	\$4,600 High dosage chemical precipitation and advanced solids removal	\$3,686,400
\$0.00 N/A, currently	\$0.00 N/A, no RPA/WQBELs	\$0.00 N/A, no RPA/WQBELs	\$0.00
meeting \$435,600 N/A, currently meeting LOT	needed \$0.00 Chemical precipitation and tertiary filtration	needed \$318,214 High dosage chemical precipitation and advanced solids removal	\$2,326,700

МНІ	Old current sewer bill/year	Old current % MHI	Number of househol ds	Current sewer bill/year	Sewer	Achieving 7 mg/L TN and 0.5 mg/L TP %MHI	7 mg/L TN and 0.1	_	3 mg/L TN
\$36,364			2,501	\$522	1.44	1.44	1.44	2.49	1.61
\$37,344			1,300	\$501	1.34	1.95	2.37	3.32	1.95
\$50,625			250		#VALUE!	NA	NA	NA I	NA
\$52,708			1,500	\$943	1.79	1.79	2.28	2.71	2.02
\$82,303			2,214	\$766	0.93	1.12	1.25	1.55	1.23
\$44,940			2,114	\$557	1.24	1.24	1.70	2.12	1.45
\$29,519			1,920	\$224	0.76	0.76	1.41	0.76	1.06
\$46,422	\$372	0.84%	32,000	\$408	0.92	0.92	0.92	1.30	0.92

\$37,654	\$360	0.89%	33,000	\$331	0.82	0.82	0.82	1.10	0.82
\$27,118	\$240	0.52%	9,800	\$445	0.97	0.99	0.97	0.97	0.99
\$44,601	\$278	0.54%	31,005	\$218	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43
\$50,311	\$362	0.78%	21,800	\$445	0.96	0.98	1.03	1.32	1.08
\$40,511	\$388	1.12%	5,923	\$366	1.06	1.06	1.06	2.85	1.53
\$38,438	\$718	1.88%	6,357	\$329	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
\$48,813			6,864	\$505	1.32	1.32	1.44	2.21	1.49

3 mg	g/L TN 3 d 0.1 a	achieving mg/L TN and 0.05 mg/L TP %MHI	Poverty Rate	Poverty Second score	Seco <b>LMI</b>	ndary Sco LMI Second score	re Unemployment rate*	Unemploym ent score	МНІ
	1.61	2.66							
	2.37	3.32	15%	2	28%	2	3.70%	2	\$36,364
NA	N	Α	18%	2	32%	2	3.70%	2	\$37,344
	2.51	2.94	10%	2	16%	2	2.90%	3	\$50,625
	1.35	1.65	5%	3	11%	3	2.20%	3	\$52,708
	1.92	2.34	8%	2	11%	3	5.50%	1	\$82,303
	1.71	1.06	9%	2	20%	2	3.00%	1	\$44,940
			27%	2	48%	1	3.90%	2	\$29,519
	0.92	1.30							
			21%	2	32%	2	2.20%	1	\$46,422

0.82	1.10							
0.97	0.97	20%	2	32%	2	3.80%	2	\$37,654
0.43	0.43	25%	2	37%	2	3.90%	2	\$27,118
1.13	1.41	16%	2	25%	2	4.20%	2	\$44,601
1.53	3.32	14%	2	22%	2	3.00%	3	\$50,311
0.86	0.86	16%	2	29%	2	4.50%	2	\$40,511
1.61	2.37	7%	2	16%	2	3.20%	1	\$38,438
		12%	2	25%	2	4.50%	2	\$48,813

MHI sco	ore	Taxes index	Taxes index score	Average Secondary Score	
	1	2.35	2	1.8	
	1	3.72	1	1.6	
	2 N/	A N	I/A	2.25	
	2	1.78	2	2.6	
	3	2.21	2	2.2	
	2	2.14	2	1.8	
	1	2.58	2	1.6	

2 2.88 2 1.8

1	4.37	1	1.6
1	4.11	1	1.6
2	1.89	2	2
2	2.86	2	2.2
1	2.55	2	1.8
1	2.5	2	1.6
2	6.07	3	2.2